Message

From: Fennessy, Christopher [christopher.fennessy@Rocket.com]

Sent: 12/23/2015 7:04:06 PM

To: Keller, Lynn [Keller.Lynn@epa.gov]

CC: MacDonald, Alex@Waterboards (Alex.MacDonald@waterboards.ca.gov) [Alex.MacDonald@waterboards.ca.gov];

Ross, Steve@DTSC (Steve.Ross@dtsc.ca.gov) [Steve.Ross@dtsc.ca.gov]

Subject: RE: December 8 meeting follow-up

Thanks Lynn! What is the purpose behind including the bullet regarding completing the design and installation of vapor mitigation systems? Is this intended to be a condition of remedy? We are not sure that vapor mitigation systems will be required. Land Use Covenants may preclude structures overlying areas that have elevated VOCs in groundwater or soil vapor. Is this meant to state:

"If the remedy requires an LUC that establishes the requirement for installation of vapor mitigation systems beneath future structures, the LUC will specify that DTSC shall provide the regulatory oversight to ensure proper installation and operation and maintenance of vapor mitigation systems, and shall utilize enforcement mechanisms as determined by the State."?

Or is there another intention? Thanks, Chris

Christopher M. Fennessy, P.E. Aerojet Rocketdyne, Inc.

Engineering Manager, Site Remediation 11260 Pyrites Way, Suite 125 Rancho Cordova, CA 95670

Ph: 916-355-3341 Fax: 916-355-6145

Email: Christopher.Fennessy@Rocket.com

From: Keller, Lynn [mailto:Keller.Lynn@epa.gov] Sent: Tuesday, December 22, 2015 3:00 PM

To: Fennessy, Christopher

Cc: MacDonald, Alex@Waterboards (Alex.MacDonald@waterboards.ca.gov); Ross, Steve@DTSC

(Steve.Ross@dtsc.ca.gov)

Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: December 8 meeting follow-up

Hi, Chris.

I just now finally sent out the revised OU10/Area 40 letter to EPA/DTSC/RWQCB for comments. I requested all comments back from reviewers by 6 Jan; I attached the revised draft, fyi.

I did get comments back from Trudy on the SLERA on 18 Dec, but I didn't receive any back from Ned yet. I attached the email with Trudy's additional comments but please wait a bit longer to give Ned more time to review them.

A few of us have been out sick and with the holidays approaching, it may take a bit longer to wrap this up. But we have some progress!

Happy holidays to you, Lynn *Lynn M. Keller, EI, PMP*US EPA Region 9 RPM
75 Hawthorne St, SFD 7-1
San Francisco, CA 94105
415.947.4162

From: Fennessy, Christopher [mailto:christopher.fennessy@Rocket.com]

Sent: Monday, December 21, 2015 4:24 PM **To:** Keller, Lynn < Keller, Lynn@epa.gov >

Cc: MacDonald, Alex@Waterboards (<u>Alex.MacDonald@waterboards.ca.gov</u>) < <u>Alex.MacDonald@waterboards.ca.gov</u>>;

Ross, Steve@DTSC (Steve.Ross@dtsc.ca.gov) < Steve.Ross@dtsc.ca.gov>

Subject: December 8 meeting follow-up

Hi Lynn – Just following up on agreements from the December 8 meeting.

- Have you sent out the revised Area 40 letter for review? Not sure if I would have been copied, but I hadn't seen it, so I am just confirming.
- How about the final comments on the IOU SLERA? I believe you were going to submit final comments by December 18.

Thanks, Chris

Christopher M. Fennessy, P.E. Aerojet Rocketdyne, Inc.

Engineering Manager, Site Remediation 11260 Pyrites Way, Suite 125 Rancho Cordova, CA 95670

Ph: 916-355-3341 Fax: 916-355-6145

Email: Christopher.Fennessy@Rocket.com